



COBIT® 5 ASSESSOR SYLLABUS

2013



1. Introduction

"The Assessor Guide: Using COBIT 5" provides the **main** guidance on performing a process capability assessment, the roles, responsibilities and competences required and the key steps required, from assessment initiation to reporting of the assessment results. "The Process Assessment Model (PAM): using COBIT 5 which is the model used by assessor to perform an assessment is used by candidate to reference the process content to be used in the assessment.

The syllabus is based on these *two guides*. Note that foundation questions based on the COBIT PAM will not be retested.

The Assessor training and certification is a 'Practitioner-Level training and certification course' that focuses on 'how' to apply the PAM and 'how' to analyse the results.

It is a mandatory requirement for all candidates to have passed the COBIT 5 Foundation Exam before applying for and attending this training and certificate course.

The target audience for this document:

- Exam Board
- Exam Panel
- Accredited Training Organisations

ATOs should read this document with the 'Curriculum' document which gives details on 'what is to be taught' as opposed to 'what is being tested/examined' as that document contains more details of the topics and sub topics (called training modules) that support the vanilla training material. So for example included in the curriculum will be topics like using the self-assessment approach which is NOT tested or the differences between the COBIT 4.1 and COBIT 5 PAMs.

2. COBIT 5 Assessor Certificate

2.1 Purpose

The primary *purpose of the syllabus* is to provide a basis for the accreditation of a COBIT Assessor Certificate. It documents the learning outcomes related to the use of the COBIT 5 Assessor approach and confirms whether the candidate has achieved sufficient understanding of how to apply and scope COBIT 5 process capability approach in a scenario situation. A successful practitioner candidate will be able to *become an assessor or a lead assessor and apply for full ISACA certification* if they can supply *further proof of the <u>competences</u> required by ISACA*: (*detailed competences and roles are outlined in the curriculum*).

2.2 The target audience for this certification is:

- Internal & external Auditors who want to add process capability assessments to the scope
 of their audits.
- IT auditors who want to add process capability assessments to the scope of their audits.
- Consultants who want to be allowed to perform independent process assessments on behalf of their clients.



2.3 High Level Performance Definition of a Successful COBIT 5 Assessor candidate

Upon the successful completion of this training course, candidates will know:

- How to perform a process capability assessment using the Assessor Guide: using COBIT 5
- How to apply the Process Assessment Model (PAM) in performing a process capability assessment. Specifically:
 - To use the Process Reference Model (PRM), in particular to be able to apply the 37 processes outlined in the PRM.
 - To apply and analyse the measurement model in assessing process capability levels.
 - To apply and analyse the capability dimension using generic criteria outlined in the PAM.
- Be able to identify and assess the roles and responsibilities in the process capability assessment process.
- Be able to perform and assess the 7 steps outlined in the Assessor Guide specifically how to:
 - Initiate a process assessment.
 - Scope an assessment, using the tools provided and the PAM for the selection of the appropriate processes.
 - Plan & Brief the teams.
 - Collect & Validate the data.
 - Do a process attribute rating.
 - o Report the findings of the assessment.

3. Learning Outcomes Assessment Model

A classification widely used when designing assessments for certification and education is the Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. This classifies learning objectives into six ascending learning levels, each defining a higher degree of competencies and skills. (Bloom et al, 1956, Taxonomy of Educational Objectives).

APMG have incorporated this into a Learning Outcomes Assessment Model which is used to provide a simple and systematic means for assessing and classifying the learning outcomes for APMG qualifications.

This structured approach helps to ensure:

A clear delineation in learning level content between different qualification levels Learning outcomes are documented consistently across different areas of the guidance Exam questions and papers are consistent and are created to a similar level of difficulty.

The COBIT 5 Foundation certificate examines learning outcomes at levels 1 (knowledge) and 2 (comprehension). The COBIT 5 Assessor Certificate is positioned at Practitioner level and examines learning levels 2 (understanding) 3 (application) and 4 (analysis).



	1. Knowledge	2. Comprehension	3. Application	4. Analysis
Generic Definition from APMG Learning Outcomes Assessment Model	Know key facts, terms and concepts from the manual/guidance	Understand key concepts from the manual/guidance	Be able to apply key concepts relating to the syllabus area for a given scenario	Be able to identify, analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate use of the method/guidance for a given scenario.
COBIT 5 Learning Outcome Assessment Model	To know the facts, terms, concepts, and principles, including tools, techniques, roles and responsibilities from the COBIT 5 Framework Guidance and the COBIT Process Assessment Model (PAM).	Understand the concepts, principles, processes, features, organizational factors and roles and can explain how these are applied to justify, design and implement the COBIT 5 framework and the COBIT Process Assessment model (PAM).	Be able to apply the PAM & Assessor Guide to: i. Perform a process capability assessment appropriately ii. Scope and tailor the organisational processes appropriately For a given project scenario.	Be able to identify, analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate use of the method applied to the assessment of process capability of an organisation for a given project scenario.

4. Syllabus Presentation

For each syllabus area, learning outcomes for each learning level are identified. Each learning outcome is then supported by a description of the requirements that a candidate is expected to meet to demonstrate that the learning outcome has been achieved at the qualification level indicated. These are shown as syllabus topics.

All Foundation level requirements must be met before a candidate can move onto the Assessor training programme. Foundation level knowledge and understanding will not be repeated in this course, but will be used when demonstrating application and analysis learning outcomes.

Syllabus Code Al [2]	Area	Syllabus Area : QUAL Syllabus Area (XX) Theme [1]	Foundation	Practitioner	Primary References
Laval	T:-				
Level	Topic				
		s and concepts relating to the syllabus area. [3]			
Specifica	lly to rec	all:			
01	01	[6]	[7]		[8]
[4]	[5]				
01	02				

Key to the Syllabus Area table

1 Syllabus Area Unit of learning, e.g. chapter of the reference guide or course

module.

2 Syllabus Area Code A unique 2 character code identifying the syllabus area.



3	Learning Outcome	A statement of what a candidate will be expected to know,
	(topic header shown in bold)	understand or do.
4	Level	Classification of the learning outcome against the APMG OTE Learning Outcomes Assessment Model.
5	Topic Reference	Number of the topic within the learning level.
6	Topic Description	Description of what is required of the candidate to demonstrate that a learning outcome has been achieved at the qualification level indicated
7	Foundation/Practitioner	Shows at which qualification level the topic is assessed.
8	Primary Reference	The main reference supporting the topic.

Each of the syllabus areas is presented in a similar format as follows:

5. Learning Areas For The Assessor Syllabus

Syllabus	Syllabus Area Title
Area	
Code	
RR	Roles, Responsibilities and Competences
Al	Initiate an Assessment
SC	Scope an Assessment
PB	Plan an Assessment and Brief the teams and management
DC	Data Collection
DV	Data Validation
PR	Analyse and rate the process attributes & capability levels
AR	Prepare and present assessment reports

This syllabus informs the design of the exam and provides accredited training organizations (ATO's) with a more detailed breakdown of what the exam will assess. Details on the exam structure and content follow:

Important Points

The following points about the use of the syllabus should be noted:

COBIT 5 Assessor Guides

The COBIT 5 Assessment programme guide references provided should be considered to be indicative rather than comprehensive, i.e. there may be other valid references within the guidance. The main following reference guides will be used for the COBIT 5 Assessor certification:

- Assessor Guide: using COBIT 5; The syllabus will comprise project scenario questions based on this guide.
- Assessor Guide Tool Kit
- Process Assessment Model (PAM): using COBIT 5; used to access the detailed content required to do an assessment.

Syllabus Exclusions

None



6. Detailed Assessor Syllabus

Syllab Code	ous Area	Syllabus Area:	Practitioner	Primary References		
RR		Assessor – Roles & Responsibilities	oner))Ces		
Level						
	Be able to understand the Key Roles, & Responsibilities in a COBIT Assessment Assignment:					
Speci	fically to ider	ntify:				
02	01	The different responsibilities for each of the following roles:	✓	Chapter 3 Assessor Guide Page 21,3.3 Figure 13		
02	02	The competency requirements for assessors.	✓	Chapter 3 Assessor Guide Page 22 3.5		
scena		ey concepts relating to the Roles and Responsibilities for a given				
03	01	The key roles and responsibilities required for the assessment assignment.	√	Chapter 3 page 22 figure 13		
03	02	An appropriate assessment team structure required to perform an assessment assignment.	√	Chapter 3 page 22 figure 13 & chapter 4.1.2 Key Issues		
applic	ation of the F	analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Roles & Responsibilities for a given scenario situation. lyse with reasons:				
04	01	Whether the correct roles and responsibilities have been identified for the assessment assignment.	✓	Chapter 3 page 22 figure 13		
04	02	Whether the correct team has been created taking into consideration: The appropriate knowledge of the COBIT Assessment Programme The degree of independence required depending on the class of assessment.	✓	Chapter 3 page 21 & 22 & chapter 4.1.2 Key Issues		



	Accrediting Professionals						
	ous Area	Syllabus Area:	an of) rii			
Code Al		Assessment Initiation	Practitioner	Primary References			
Level	Topic						
Be ab Assig		and the Assessment Classes in a COBIT Assessment					
02	01	The recommended steps required to be followed.					
02	01	The recommended steps required to be followed.	✓	Chapter 4 page 27 4.1.3			
02	02	The key differences in the 3 classes of assessment to be used in a process capability assessment Class One Class two Class Three	√	Chapter 1 page 8, 1.4 and Chapter 4 Figure 17 page 26			
scena		ey concepts relating to the Assessment Initiation Phase for a given ntify:					
03	01	The appropriate information for a pre-assessment questionnaire.	✓	Chapter 4.1.2 page 24			
03	02	The recommended steps required to be followed.	✓	Chapter 4.1.3 page 27 appendi x D4 page 50			
03	03	What class of assessment is appropriate for the specific problems outlined in the scenario.	✓	Chapter 4 Figure 17 page 26			
applic	cation of the A	, analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Assessment Initiation Phase for a given scenario situation. lyse with reasons:					
04	01	Whether the pre-assessment questionnaire is complete in terms of providing the appropriate understanding to the assessor, sponsor and management team.	✓	Chapter 4 page 24.			
04	02	Whether the recommended steps in the Assessment Initiation phase are appropriate.	✓	Chapter 4.1.3 page 27			
04	03	Whether the appropriate assessment class was selected for the scenario and whether the management team and sponsor were involved in the selection decision.	✓	Chapter 4 page 25/26.			



Syllabu Code	s Area	Syllabus Area:	Prac	Primary Referen
sc		Scoping the Assessment	Practitioner	Primary References
Level	Topic			
Be able	to apply ke	ey concepts relating to the Scoping Process for a given scenario.		
Specific	cally to iden			
03	01	The recommended process selection steps used to scope the assessment.	√	Chapter 4 page 24
03	02	What processes from the PAM should be selected for the specific problems outlined in the scenario.	√	Chapter 4 page 25 & PAM PRM Chapter 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 Scoping Tool Kit
03	03	The initial mapping of the organisation's processes to the COBIT PRM.	√	Chapter 4 page 25 PPAM Page 11 Figure 3
applica	tion of the S	analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Scoping Process for a given scenario situation.		
	01	yse with reasons: Whether the recommended process selection steps were used.	✓	Chapter 4 page
04	02	Whether the appropriate processes were selected for the problems outlined in the scenario and whether the management team, assessor and sponsor were involved in the selection decision.	✓	Chapter 4 page 25 and PAM PRM chapters 3.2, 3.3, 3.4
04	03	Whether the initial mappings of the organisation's process to COBIT 5 were done appropriately.	√	Chapter 4 page 25



		Accrediting Professionals		
Syllat Code		Syllabus Area:	Practitioner	Primary References
PB		Planning the Assessment & Briefing the Teams	ner	ces
Level	Topic			
scena	ario.	ey concepts relating to the Planning and Briefing Phase for a given		
	ifically to iden			
03	01	The appropriate information to be included in the assessment plan.	√	Chapter 4.2.2 page 28 Appendix D1
03	02	The recommended steps to follow in the assessment planning phase.	✓	Chapter 4.2.3 page 28
03	03	The recommended steps to follow in the briefing phase.	✓	Chapter 4.3.3 page 29
applic	cation of the F	analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Planning and Briefing Phase for a given scenario situation. lyse with reasons:		
04	01	Whether the assessment plan is completed appropriately and whether the sponsor and management team were involved in the final decision.	√	Chapter 4.2.2 page 28 Appendi x D1
04	02	Whether the planning recommended steps have been followed.	✓	Chapter 4.2.2 page 28
04	03	Whether the briefing recommended steps have been followed.	✓	Chapter 4.3.3 page 29



Syllab	us Area	Syllabus Area:	Pr	R P	
Code			Practitione	Primary References	
			itio	ary ren	
DC		Data Collection	nei	ces	
			_	0,	
Level	Topic				
Be ab		and the in a COBIT Assessment the Data Collection phase.			
Specif					
02	01	The primary sources of the evidence for the processes to be		Chapter	
		assessed, specifically:		2.2.2	
		The process Purpose	✓	page 12	
		Process OutcomesBase Practices			
		Work Products			
Be abl	le to annly ke	ey concepts relating to the Data Collection Phase for a given			
scena		2) TELESPIO FORMING TO MIS BUILD COMODITION IN INCOME OF A GIVEN			
555a					
Specif	ically to iden	tify:			
03	01	The instances of process performance that is uniquely		Chapter	
		identifiable and defines what information can be gathered in a		4.4.2	
		repeatable manner.		page 29,	
			✓	PAM	
				Chapter 2	
				pages 11 - 14	
03	02	The evidence to be collected for the selected processes based		Chapter	
	02	on the level 1 being assessed:		4.4.2	
		Process Outcomes	✓	page 30 ;	
		Base Practices		PAM	
		Work Products		Chapter 3	
03	03	The evidence to be collected for Levels 2 to 5:		Chapter	
		 Generic Practices 		4.4.2	
		 Generic Work Products 	✓	page 30;	
				PAM	
				Chapter 4	
03	04	The Recommended steps in performing the data collection		Chapter	
		phase.	~	4.4.3	
Level	Topic			page 30	
		analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate			
		Data Collection Phase for a given scenario situation.			
ωρροι		Take Deliver in the order of a given obtained bladdion.			
Specifically to analyse with reasons:					
04	01	Whether the instances of process performance have been		Chapter	
		identified appropriately.	✓	4.4.2	
				page 29	
04	02	Whether the evidence collected for Level 1 is accurate and		Chapter	
		complete.		4.4.2	
			✓	Page 30	
				and PAM	
				Chapter	
1				3	



Syllal Code DC	bus Area	Syllabus Area: Data Collection	Practitioner	Primary References
04	03	Whether the evidence collected for Level's 2 to 5 is accurate and complete.	✓	Chapter 4.4.2 Page 30 and PAM Chapter 4
04	04	Whether the recommended steps for data collection have been followed.	✓	Chapter 4.4.2



		Accrediting Professionals		
Syllabu Code	us Area	Syllabus Area:	Practitioner	Primary References
DV		Data Validation	ner	ices
Level	Topic			
scenar	io.	ey concepts relating to the Data Validation Phase for a given		
O3	cally to iden	The recommendations for reviewing and forming conclusions	√	Chanter
		of the data collected by the lead assessor.	v	Chapter 4.5.2 page 31; PAM Chapter 2 pages 11- 14
03	02	The deficiencies have been addressed taking into consideration any problems with the availability of data.	✓	Chapter 4.5.2 page 31; PAM Chapter 3 appropriate process content.
03	03	The Recommended steps in performing the data validation phase.	✓	Chapter 4.5.3 page 31
Level	Topic			
applica Specifi	tion of the C	analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Data Validation Phase for a given scenario situation. yse with reasons:		
04	01	Whether the collected data has been reviewed for completeness and sufficiency by the lead assessor and whether the conclusions have been validated.	✓	Chapter 4.5.2 page 31
04	02	Whether the deficiencies and data collection problems identified have been appropriately addressed and reported to the sponsor.	✓	Chapter 4.5.2 page 31
04	03	Whether the recommended steps for data validation have been followed.	√	Chapter 4.5.3 page 31



Syllabu Code PR	is Area	Syllabus Area: Process Attribute Rating	Practitioner	Primary References
			er)S
Level	Topic			
	e to apply ke cenario.	ey concepts relating to the Process Attribute Rating Phase for a		
givens	cenano.			
	cally to iden			
03	01	The appropriate rating for each of the processes being assessed at Level 1: N Not achieved 0 to 15% achievement P Partially achieved >15% to 50% achievement L Largely achieved >50% to 85% achievement F Fully achieved >85% to 100% achievement	✓	Chapter 4.6.2 page 32
03	02	The appropriate generic content for the processes being assessed at Level 2 to 5 (based on the PAM generic content): Not achieved 0 to 15% achievement Partially achieved >15% to 50% achievement Largely achieved >50% to 85% achievement Fully achieved >85% to 100% achievement	✓	PAM chapter 4 Pages 115 - 121
03	03	That the capability level is being achieved based on the ratings at 0301 and 0302.	√	Chapter 4.6.2 page 32
03	04	The recommended steps in rating the process attribute.	√	Chapter 4.6.3 page 32
Level	Topic			
applica	tion of the F	analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Process Attribute Rating Phase for a given scenario situation. lyse with reasons:		
04	01	Whether the rating for Level 1 has been assessed correctly and the evidence that supports the ratings at 0301 is appropriate.	√	PAM chapter 4 Pages 115 - 121
04	02	Whether the rating for Levels 2 to 5 has been assessed correctly and the evidence that supports the ratings at 0302 is appropriate.	√	Chapter 4.6.2 page 31
04	03	Whether Capability levels achieved based on the ratings at 0301 and 0302 are correct and appropriate.	√	Chapter 4.6.2 page 32
04	04	Whether the recommended steps in the attribute rating process are appropriate.	✓	Chapter 4.6.3 page 32



Syllabus Area Code		Syllabus Area:	Practitione	Primary References	
AR		Assessment Reporting	ioner	nces	
Level	Topic				
Assig	nment.	and the Assessment reporting phase in a COBIT Assessment			
Specifically to identify:					
02	01	The minimum content required for an assessment report.	√	Chapter 4.7.2 page 33& appendix D3	
Be able to apply key concepts relating to the Assessment Reporting Phase for a given scenario.					
Specifically to identify:					
03	01	The appropriate information using the recommended composition, for inclusion into the assessment report.	✓	Chapter 4.7.2 page 33	
03	02	The recommended steps in producing an assessment report	✓	Chapter 4.7.3 page 34	
Level					
applic	Be able to identify, analyse and distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate application of the Assessment Reporting Phase for a given scenario situation.				
Specifically to analyse with reasons:					
04	01	Whether the report is complete and with all the recommended content, and whether the report has been provided to the sponsor.	✓	Chapter 4.7.2 page 33 D 3	
04	02	Whether the reporting steps have been applied correctly.	√	Chapter 4.7.2 page 34	